## Aligned SSIP/SPDG Reporting

Brent Garrett, Ph.D.
Garrett Consulting, LLC
brent@bgarrettconsulting.net

January 24, 2019

## Aligning Evaluation and Reporting

- To what degree are the initiatives aligned (in content, stakeholders, and professional learning infrastructure)?
- Was there collaborative work in developing logic models, theories of action, and evaluation plans?
- Are performance measures and other data sources aligned across SPDG and SSIP evaluation plans?
- Is there any shared data collection/instrument development?
- Are reports or other dissemination mechanisms developed collaboratively?

## **Evaluation Infrastructure**

	Possible Pro's	Possible Con's
Same evaluator	Collaboration Communication	May be the same old guy who gets every contract
Different evaluators	May have greater content expertise	Collaboration Communication
No evaluator	You don't have to deal with pesky evaluators	Less data Access to skilled analysts You've got to write the report

## Reporting Guidance

#### SPDG

- Due May 3, 2019
- Required reporting format and reporting period
- Reporting by performance measures
  - G5 and 524B process
- Reporting period is March 1, 2018 February 28, 2019

#### SSIP

- Due April 1, 2019
- Sample reporting formats
- We will report on data from the 2017-18 school year and data from August/September through December 2018.

## SSIP Phase III Report Organizational Outline

- 1. Summary of Phase III
- 2. Progress in Implementing the SSIP
- 3. Data on Implementation and Outcomes
- 4. Data Quality Issues
- 5. Progress Toward Achieving Intended Improvements
- 6. Plans for Next Year





#### U.S. Department of Education Grant Performance Report (ED 524B) Project Status Chart

PR/Award #

SECTION A - Performance Objectives Information and Related Performance Measures Data (See Instructions. Use as many pages as necessary.)

1. Project Objective [ ] Check if this is a status update for the previous budget period.

#### **Program Indicators**

1a. Performance Measure	Measure Type	Quantitative Data					
50% of the MTSS infrastructure framework evidence-based professional		Target			Actual Performance Data		
development components will score a 3 or 4 in Year 2, 70% in Year 3, and 80% in Years 4 and 5.	Program	Raw Number	Ratio	%	Raw Number	Ratio	%
			8/16	50%		3 / 16	18.75%

1b. Performance Measure	Measure Type	Quantitative Data					
		Target			Actual Performance Data		
After two years of implementation, 80% of participating districts will implement an MTSS framework with fidelity.	Program	Raw Number	Ratio	%	Raw Number	Ratio	%
			80 / 100	80%		999 / 999	999

ı	1c. Performance Measure	Measure Type	Quantitative Data					
		Target			Actual Performance Data			
l	After two years of implementation, 80% of participating schools will implement MTSS with fidelity.	Program	Raw Number	Ratio	%	Raw Number	Ratio	%
ı				80 / 100	80%		999 / 999	999

1d. Performance Measure	Measure Type	Quantitative Data					
	Program	Target			Actual Performance Data		
By year 3, 60% of SPDG MTSS funds are used for activities designed to sustain the implementation of MTSS initiatives.		Raw Number	Ratio	%	Raw Number	Ratio	%
			60 / 100	60%		999 / 999	999

Explanation of Progress (Include Qualitative Data and Data Collection Information)

### SPDG – Other Sections of the 524B Process

- Cover Page Demographic, budget, and management data. Abstract is uploaded here.
- Section A:
  - Full narrative evaluation report and/or use of the 524B form
  - Explanation of Progress
    - Be prepared for limits in the number of allowed characters in the Explanation of Progress section for each objective.
    - "Optional attachment for additional Section A text"
- Section B More detailed budget information than provided on the Cover Page
- Section C One PDF containing:
  - Any changes to the project,
  - The Program Measure 1 evidence-based professional development worksheet
  - Evaluation narrative

## Summary

- Develop a communication plan and regular meeting schedule that includes evaluators. Consider evaluation-specific meetings.
- Produce a comprehensive evaluation report, and a one page summary/infographic that are useful to a range of stakeholders.
- Align performance measures and other data sources across SPDG and SSIP evaluation plans.
- Pull data from the comprehensive evaluation report for use in SPDG and SSIP reporting.
- With the SSIP Phase III report due in early April, there is no reason to not get the SPDG report completed early!

## Alignment Poll Questions (After Slide 2)

Are your SSIP and SPDG aligned?

 Are any instruments or data collection activities shared between your SSIP and SPDG?

 If initiatives are aligned, is there some form of common reporting across the two initiatives?

# SSIP/SPDG Evaluator Poll Questions (After Slide 3)

 Does your state have one evaluator who works supports your SSIP and your SPDG?

Does your state have different evaluators for the SSIP and your SPDG?

Does your state have an evaluator for the SSIP?

Does your state have an evaluator for the SPDG?